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Two populations of Rivularia-like cyanobacteria were isolated from ecologically distinct and biogeographically distant sites. One population was from an unpolluted stream in the Kola Peninsula of Russia, whereas the other was from a wet wall in the Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument, a desert park-land in Utah. Though both were virtually indistinguishable from Rivularia in field and cultured material, they were both phylogenetically distant from Rivularia and the Rivulariaceae based on both 16S rRNA and rbcLX phylogenies. We here name the new cryptic genus Cyanomargarita gen. nov., with type species C. melechinii sp. nov., and additional species C. calcarea sp. nov. We also name a new family for these taxa, the Cyanomargaritaceae.
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With the advent of molecular methods, many phy-
cologists, including those who study cyanobacteria,
began to recognize the existence of cryptic species
(Boyer et al. 2002, Casamatta et al. 2003, Erwin and
2009, Reñé et al. 2013, Johansen et al. 2014, Mühlsteinová et al. 2014a,b, Patzelt et al. 2014). However, while in these papers the existence of cryptic species was suggested, the species were not recognized taxonomically. Subsequently, cryptic species have been named in several algal groups, including euglenids (Marin et al. 2003, Kosmala et al. 2007, Kosmala et al. 2009, Karnikowska-Ishi-
2010), eustigmatophytes (Fawley and Fawley 2007,
Fawley et al. 2015), chlorophytes (Fawley et al. 2005,
2011, Füçiková et al. 2014), and cyanobacteria (Oso-

Some cyanobacterial systematists have suggested the existence of cryptic genera as well (Komárek et al. 2014, Dvořák et al. 2015a), although very few cryptic genera have actually been described. Pinoc-
chia, which is morphologically identical to Pseudan-
abaena, has a phylogenetic position distant from that genus, and therefore was described as a cryptic genus (Dvořák et al. 2015b). Kovacikia, which is morphologically similar to Phormidesmis but molecularly distinct, would also fit the definition of a cryp-
tic genus, although the authors did not label it as such (Misoe et al. 2016). There are also a number of pseudocryptic genera (genera defined by mor-
phological traits that are minor or phenotypically plastic, and therefore not always expressed in the population) as well, such as Nodosilinea, Oculatella, Limmolyngbya, Pantanalinema, and Alkalinema. These genera belong to the Synechococcales, an order containing taxa with few morphological characteristics (simple filamentous forms, variations in tri-
chome width and sheath characteristics).

Outside of the Synechococcales, few cryptic gen-
era have been recognized. In the Chroococcales, Chali-
cogloea is similar to Gloeocapsa and could be con-
sidered a cryptic genus (Roldán et al. 2013). There are likely more cryptic genera in this order, but identifying them is more problematic because mem-
bers of the genus are difficult to grow in culture, and consequently, fewer sequences are available. In the Oscillatoriales, Ammassolinea is the one of the described cryptic genera (Häslar et al. 2014), being morphologically inseparable from Phormidium, as it is presently defined, as well as Moorea, Okenia and Microseira (Engene et al. 2012, 2013, McGregor and Sendall 2015). Within the Nostocales, there is much greater morphological complexity than the nonhete-
rocytous orders. Some pseudocryptic genera have been described, including Mojavia (Reháková et al.
2007), Dapisostemon (Hentschke et al. 2016), and Pelatocladus (Misoe et al. 2016).

We recently discovered a population of tapering, heterocyte-bearing trichomes embedded in a hemispherical to spherical mucilage investment in a small, spring-fed, unpolluted stream near the town of Apatity in the Kola Peninsula, Russia. It was com-
pletely consistent with the description of Rivularia
C.Agardh ex Bornet & Flahault, the type genus of Rivulariaceae, which contains tapering, heterocytous taxa. This taxon fit no established species in Rivularia, and upon sequencing was determined to be phyloge-
etically distant from all members of that family. A second species belonging to the same clade as the Russian material was found, and sequenced several years earlier from a wet wall in the Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument in Utah, USA. These two populations differ morphologically and ecologi-
cally, and are described herein as two new species based on a modified phylogenetic species concept (Mishler and Theriot 2000, Johansen and Casamatta 2005) in a newly proposed genus, Cyanomargarita gen.
nov. This genus cannot be placed in any family-level grouping of taxa based on the phylogenetic analyses performed – we place these taxa in a new family to science, the Cyanomargaritaceae fam. nov.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Isolation and strain characterization. Both strains of Cyanomargarita were isolated from natural populations into unialgal cultures using standard microbiological methods, including enrichment plates and direct isolation from the original samples, in Z8 medium (Kotai 1972, Carmichael 1986). Cultures were observed under a Zeiss Axioskop photomicro-
scope with both bright field and DIC optics. All morphological measurements were obtained using AxioVision 4.8 software provided by Zeiss (Oberkochen, Germany). Living cultures were deposited into the Cyanobacterial Culture Collection at John Carroll University, Cleveland, OH, USA. Natural populations of material from which the strain C. melechinii APA-RS9 was derived were dried and deposited as an isotype in the Her-
barium of the Polar-Alpine Botanical Garden-Institute, Kola Science Centre, RAS, Kirovsk-6, Murmansk Region, Russia, and information about habitat, coordinates and locality can be found in the online database Cyanoprop (Melechin et al. 2013). The dried holotype material of this strain was deposited in the Herbarium for Nonvascular Cryptogams in the Monte L. Bean Museum, Provo, UT, USA. Liquid materials of both species fixed in 4% formaldehyde, as well as dried materials of C. cal-
carens, were also deposited in the Herbarium for Nonvascular Cryptogams in the Monte L. Bean Museum, Provo, UT, USA.

Molecular methods. Genomic DNA was extracted following techniques described in Pietraš et al. (2014). PCR amplification of the 16S rRNA gene was accomplished following Osorio-Santos et al. (2014), with the exception that forward primer 8F was used instead of forward primer VRF2, for amplification of a longer sequence, starting near the begin-
ing of the 16S rRNA gene (Perkerson et al. 2011). The 16S rRNA amplicons were cloned to avoid problems in sequenc-
ing of the 16S rRNA gene (Perkerson et al. 2011). The 16S rRNA amplicons and sequences of rbcL, rpsC1 genes were obtained exactly according to Rudi et al. (1998) and Seo and Yokota (2003), respectively. The nifD gene amplification was completed using a protocol described in Roesler et al. (2007). All three protein-encoding genes (rbcL, rpsC1, nifD) were sequenced after purification procedure using Wizard® SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA); rather than cloned, because they are single-copy genes.
in the cyanobacterial genome. All sequences obtained in this study were deposited in the NCBI Nucleotide database, under accession numbers – for 16S rRNA gene: KY296602, KY296603, KY296604, KY296605, KY296606, KY296607, KY296608; rbcL: KY296611, KY296612; ndhF: KY296609, KY296610; tpsC1: KY296613, KY296614.

Phylogenetic analyses. All sequences chosen for alignment and phylogenetic analyses were obtained from our internal set of sequences and relevant sequences (chosen based on both BLAST searches and named taxon searches) from the NCBI Nucleotide database before September 1, 2016. Ribosomal (16S) sequences were aligned using MUSCLE in Mega v. 6.06 (Tamura et al. 2013), and checked manually in Microsoft Word (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA, USA) to ensure that alignments supported preservation of secondary structure (Lukešová et al. 2009, Reháková et al. 2014). Protein coding genes were aligned as codons using MUSCLE in Mega v. 6.06 (Tamura et al. 2013).

The public software jModeltest2 (Darriba et al. 2012) was used to determine the optimal Maximum Likelihood (ML) model, which was GTR+I+G for 16S rRNA genes, and both ML and Bayesian Analysis (BA) were subsequently run using this type of model. The exact parameters of the substitution models were individually estimated from the data during analysis by MrBayes v. 3.2.6 (Ronquist et al. 2012) and RaxML v. 7.2.8 (Stamatakis et al. 2008) software. The jModeltest2, ML, and BA calculations were all run on CIPRES (Miller et al. 2012). In the BA, two runs of eight Markov chains were applied with 10 million generations, sampling every 100 generations, with 25% burn-in. The sump command in Mr. Bayes was used. The ML analysis was conducted in RAxML v.7.2.8 with 1,000 bootstrap replicates, using GTR+I+G substitution model. Parameters for the models, with rate matrix, base frequencies, and invariant and gamma settings are given in Table S1 in the Supporting Information. The Maximum-parsimony (MP) analysis was performed using PAUP v. 4.02b (Swofford 2002) with steepest descent, the tree bisection and reconnection branch swapping, and 1,000 bootstrap replicates.

Phylogenies utilizing 16S rRNA gene sequences can yield ambiguous or unsupported trees, and in such cases a multiple loci approach is recommended (da Silva Malone et al. 2015, Song et al. 2015). We treated the rbcL alignment as codons in the BA calculation (Fawley et al. 2015), using the Ny98 equal rate ratio model available in MrBayes for analysis of protein-coding genes (Ronquist et al. 2012). In the ML analysis the GTR+I+G model chosen by jModelTest2 was applied. Parameters for the models are given in Table S1. We conducted BA calculation of the rbcL alignment with two runs of eight Markov chains with 20 million generations, sampling every 100 generations, with 25% burn-in. Additionally, MP and ML analyses were performed for rbcL gene using the same settings as in the 16S rRNA phylogeny. The tree topology from BA was chosen for the resulting visualization for both 16S rRNA gene and rbcL gene phylogenies, as well as for the mapping of support values from MP and ML analyses.

For 16S rDNA gene the BA had an estimated sample size (ESS) exceeding 2,000 for most of the parameters (790–6,877, average = 2,621 from 13 parameters), well above the average of 200 typically accepted as sufficient by phylogeneticists (Drummond et al. 2006). The final average standard deviation of split frequencies was <0.010. The potential scale reduction factor (PSRF) value for all the estimated parameters in the BA was 1.000, indicating that convergence of the MCMC chains was statistically achieved (Gelman and Rubin 1992).

For rbcL gene the BA had an ESS exceeding 2,000 for some of the parameters (79–2,193, average = 345 from 67 parameters), well above the average of 200 typically accepted as sufficient by phylogeneticists (Drummond et al. 2006). The potential scale reduction factor (PSRF) value for all the estimated parameters in the BA was 1.000, indicating that convergence of the MCMC chains was statistically achieved (Gelman and Rubin 1992).

RESULTS

Phylogenetic analyses. The 16S rRNA gene phylogeny has posterior probability support on all nodes in the backbone of the BA, with the exception of four nodes at the base of the tree marked with small light gray circles (Fig. 1). Overall topology of the tree is consistent with recent studies of Nostocales (Berrendero et al. 2011, Hauer et al. 2014, Kasáková et al. 2014, Berrendero Gómez et al. 2016, León-Tejera et al. 2016).

Cyanomargarita gen. nov. forms a cluster of two terminal OTUs (Operational Taxonomic Units) corresponding to two new species: C. melechinnii and C. calcarea, with high support (Fig 1). Cyanomargarita is sister to a large clade, containing the well-defined monophyletic Gloeotrichiaceae, as well as the Fortieaeae, Aphani-zomenonaceae, Nostocaceae, and Tolypothrichiaceae. Additionally, Cyanomargarita is also related to the “Scytonema cf. crispum” group, which requires revision (i.e., it is not Scytonema C.Agardh ex É.Bornet & C.Flahault) and has an uncertain taxonomic position (incertae familliae), falling outside of the Scytonemataceae clade defined by the inclusion of the type species, Scytonema hofmannii C.Agardh ex Bornet & Flahault (the basal clade in Fig. 1). Cyanomargarita is found outside of the Rivulariaceae sensu stricto, despite the similar morphology between Cyanomargarita and Rivularia.

The BA in this study and the MP and ML analyses had highly similar topology, although the latter two analyses had poorer support. The MP analysis differed slightly in the placement of Roholtiella Bohunická, Pietrasiak & J.R.Johansen and Gloeotrichia J.Agardh ex Bornet & Flahault, but these taxa were still associated with the Nostocaceae and Aphani-zomenonaceae. In the parsimony analysis, Macronchaete Berrendero Gomez, J.R.Johansen & Kastovský moved from a position proximate to Calothrix C.Agardh ex Bornet & Flahault to a position sister to Cyanomargarita, but Cyanomargarita remained sister to the same polyfamily clade as in the BA (Fig. 1). The ML analysis generally had the poorest node support, but had the same topology as the BA except for a slight change in the position of “S. cf. crispum.” In all three analyses, Cyanomargarita was always a supported clade outside of the Rivulari-aceae and outside of any other family-level clade.
Fig. 1. Phylogeny for Cyanomargarita spp. inferred by Bayesian analysis within Nostocales based on a maximum of 1,495 nucleotides from the 16S rRNA gene (240 OTUs). Branch support values are shown as BA/ML/MP. Support values of 100 in ML and MP, or 1.00 (BA), is displayed as "*", respectively, nodes with no support are shown as "-". Two species of Cyanomargarita are highlighted in bold, with sequences representing different operons and clones indicated (OP1, OP2, OP3, etc.), the Riviulaceae and Cyanomargaritaceae clades are highlighted in dark gray boxes; remaining family-level clades are highlighted with light gray boxes. Drawings of the spherical colonies in the right part of the boxes indicates tapering filaments showing similar morphology between Cyanomargarita and Riviurus. OTUs in quotation marks are strains we consider to either be incorrectly identified or in need of revision.
Another piece of evidence supporting the placement of *Cyanomargarita* outside the Rivulariaceae is that representatives of this new genus have ITS regions with only one tRNA gene (tRNA_{Ile}) across four different ribosomal operons (Table 1), which is different from both the Nostocaceae (with two or no tRNAs; see Boyer et al. 2001, Reháková et al. 2007, Johansen et al. 2014) and the Rivulariaceae (with two tRNAs only). We conclude that, based on current phylogenetic evidence, *Cyanomargarita* requires its own family-level rank, and propose the family Cyanomargaritaceae fam. nov.

*Cyanomargarita* has low similarity in 16S rRNA gene sequence with most other Nostoccalean taxa (Table 2). The highest similarity was with *Gloeotrichia pismum* Thuret ex Bornet & Flahault from an alkaline wetland in Ohio, USA (95.4%). However, our new taxon differs from members of *Gloeotrichia* based on the absence of paraheterocytic akinetes with well-developed exospore. Moreover, 16S rRNA gene similarity between our taxon and a *Rivularia* strain from Argentina is only ~92.3%. Historically, less than 95% similarity among 16S rRNA gene sequences was considered good evidence for separation of prokaryotic genera (Stackebrandt and Goebel 1994), but within the heterocystous genera the cutoff is likely much higher (Flechtner et al. 2002, Patzelt et al. 2014, Berrendero Gomez et al. 2016).

*Cyanomargarita* is also outside of Rivulariaceae sensu stricto, according to our rbcL phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 2). According to this analysis, it is most closely related to the Tolypothrichaceae (containing the type species *Tolypothrix distorta* Kützing ex Bornet & Flahault) and diverse strains of *Calothrix*. In contrast, *Rivularia* forms a well-supported clade with *Kyttuthrix Ercegovic*, distant from *Cyanomargarita* (León-Tejera et al. 2016). The rbcL phylogeny, with posterior probability support in the BA showing separated clades of *Rivularia* and *Cyanomargarita*, is consistent with our conclusion based on the 16S rRNA gene phylogeny that *Cyanomargarita* is not congeneric with *Rivularia*, and, furthermore, is not even in the Rivulariaceae. The MP and ML phylogenies had much poorer support but similar topologies. *Cyanomargarita* was sister to the Tolypothrichaceae in MP and in a polytomy with Tolypothrichaceae and Calothrix/Macrochaeta as in the BA in the ML analysis (data not shown).

**ITS analysis.** The 16S-23S ITS sequences of *Cyanomargarita calcarea* are ~50 nucleotides longer than the ITS sequences of *C. melechinii*, likely as a result of insertions flanking the tRNA_{Ile} gene on the 3' side of the gene (Table 1). In general, secondary structures of D1-D1', V3, and Box B helices show similar structures across both species with minor base substitutions in all three domains. Below, we compare the secondary structures of conserved ITS domains for homologous operons in the two species (e.g., operon 1, we recovered two additional...
operons in *Cyanomargarita melechinii* that were not obtained from *C. calcarea*. The configuration of D1-D1’ helices for both species share features seen in most members of the Nostocales: a small terminal loop; a sub-terminal bilateral bulge; and a basal unilateral bulge on the 3’ side of the helix, with a highly conserved basal clamp of five base pairs (GACCU-AGGUC). We detected four substitutions across the two species in the upper part of the D1-D1’ helix, with three of those located within the loop regions. The last substitution on D1-D1’ helix occurs within the basal 3’ unilateral bulge, a transition mutation from G to A (Fig. 3). The V3 helix was very similar in both species, but with some minor differences such as two substitutions in the apical loop and a compensatory change in a single base pair in the middle part of the stem (indicated by arrows). The V3 helix from operon 3 of *C. melechinii* has a short insertion (UAAU) within the terminus of the helix (Fig. 3). The Box B structure appears to be variable and informative, with a notably different terminal loop in operon 1 of each species. The Box B of operon 1 in *C. calcarea* is actually more similar to the Box B of operon 2 of *C. melechinii*. We do not know if this is a convergent mutation or gene conversion. In our particular case, differences of ITS structures across different operons inside one lineage can be more significant than differences detected between homologous operons of different species. The overall differences between the ITS sequences from homologous operons of the two species exceeds the differences used in the past to justify species separation (Osorio-Santos et al. 2014, Pietrasiak et al. 2014, Miscoe et al. 2016).

### Table 2. Percent 16S rRNA similarity (based on p-distance) of some tapering representatives on Nostocales, including *Cyanomargarita* spp.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. <em>Cyanomargarita melechinii</em> APA-RS9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. <em>Cyanomargarita calcarea</em> GSE-NOS1204C</td>
<td>99.05</td>
<td>99.01</td>
<td>99.01</td>
<td>98.97</td>
<td>98.95</td>
<td>98.93</td>
<td>98.92</td>
<td>98.91</td>
<td>98.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. <em>Rivularia</em> sp. PCI185B PUNA NP3</td>
<td>92.84</td>
<td>92.75</td>
<td>92.74</td>
<td>92.73</td>
<td>92.72</td>
<td>92.71</td>
<td>92.70</td>
<td>92.69</td>
<td>92.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. <em>Scytonematopsis contorta</em> HA4992-MV4</td>
<td>92.92</td>
<td>92.88</td>
<td>92.85</td>
<td>92.82</td>
<td>92.79</td>
<td>92.76</td>
<td>92.73</td>
<td>92.70</td>
<td>92.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. <em>Macrochaete santannae</em> KT336441</td>
<td>93.89</td>
<td>94.38</td>
<td>93.26</td>
<td>91.16</td>
<td>91.18</td>
<td>91.20</td>
<td>91.22</td>
<td>91.24</td>
<td>91.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. <em>Gloeotrichia pusia</em> SL6-1-1</td>
<td>95.44</td>
<td>95.31</td>
<td>93.34</td>
<td>92.16</td>
<td>92.34</td>
<td>92.35</td>
<td>92.36</td>
<td>92.37</td>
<td>92.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. <em>Rokolitella elaphica</em> LG-S11</td>
<td>95.35</td>
<td>95.08</td>
<td>93.59</td>
<td>93.58</td>
<td>94.03</td>
<td>97.45</td>
<td>97.46</td>
<td>97.47</td>
<td>97.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. <em>Calothrix</em> sp. PCC6503 (Marine)</td>
<td>92.11</td>
<td>92.39</td>
<td>91.64</td>
<td>91.07</td>
<td>91.73</td>
<td>91.88</td>
<td>92.73</td>
<td>92.74</td>
<td>92.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. <em>Calothrix</em> sp. PCC7715 (Freshwater)</td>
<td>91.94</td>
<td>92.44</td>
<td>91.38</td>
<td>91.44</td>
<td>92.72</td>
<td>91.78</td>
<td>92.44</td>
<td>90.95</td>
<td>90.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. <em>Scytonema cl. crispum</em> UCFS21</td>
<td>95.34</td>
<td>95.45</td>
<td>92.90</td>
<td>93.46</td>
<td>95.14</td>
<td>95.70</td>
<td>95.15</td>
<td>93.66</td>
<td>93.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 2.** Phylogeny for *Cyanomargarita* spp. inferred by Bayesian analysis within Nostocales based on a maximum of 600 nucleotides from the *rbcL* region (83 OTUs). Branch support values are shown as BA/ML/MP. Support values of 100 in ML and MP, or 1.00 (BA), is displayed as ‘*’; respectively, nodes with no support are shown as ‘–’. Two species of *Cyanomargarita* are highlighted in bold, the Rivulariaceae and Cyanomargaritaceae clades are highlighted with dark gray boxes. Drawings of the spherical colonies in the right part of the boxes indicates tapering filaments showing similar morphology between *Cyanomargarita* and Rivularia. OTUs in quotation marks are strains we consider to be incorrectly identified.
Based on morphology, ecology, distribution, 16S rRNA gene phylogeny, p-distance analyses of 16S rRNA gene, rbcL phylogeny, analysis of the secondary structure of the 16S-23S ITS region, and p-distance analysis of the 16S-23S ITS region, we conclude that the two strains of the *Cyanomargarita* clade appear to be evolutionarily independent lineages distant from representatives of Rivulariaceae, with the genus *Cyanomargarita* gen. nov. belonging to a monogeneric family, Cyanomargaritaceae. These taxa are described here.

**Morphology and taxonomy.** *Cyanomargaritaceae* Shalygin, Shalygina & J.R.Johansen fam. nov.

**Diagnosis:** Morphologically similar to the members of the Rivulariaceae, but phylogenetically distinct from that family. Phylogenetically closely related to clade containing Gloeotrichiaceae, with which it bears morphological similarity, but separated from that family by phylogeny and the absence of...
paraheterocytic, elongated akinetes. Also related to the “Scytonema cf. crispum” clade, which is phylogenetically distant from Scytonema sensu stricto, but differing from that group by tapering, copious mucilage formation, and hemispherical to spherical colony formation.

**Etymology:** named for the single genus in the family, Cyanomargarita.

**Type genus:** Cyanomargarita Shalygin, Shalygina et Johansen gen. et sp. nov.

**Cyanomargarita** Shalygin, Shalygina & J.R. Johansen **gen. nov.**

**Diagnosis:** Morphologically similar to Rivularia, but phylogenetically close to the clade containing Nostocales, Tolypothricaceae, and Aphani-zomenonaceae, and phylogenetically distant from all members of the Rivulariaceae, distinct from most other Nostocales by the occurrence of only one tRNA gene (tRNA<sup>Ile</sup>) in the 16S-23S ITS region.

**Description:** Macroscopic colonies in nature hemispherical to spherical to irregularly globular, with tapering trichomes embedded in the colonial mucilage but extending outside of the mucilage to impart a fuzzy appearance to the colony. Filaments with distinct lamellated sheath, which is often funnel- or collar-like at the distal ends. Trichomes typically largest at the base and tapering to a thin hair distally, arranged in parallel, singly, or doubly false branched, sometimes forming concentric layers in large colonies. Heterocytes basal or rarely intercalary. Akinetes absent, but large swollen arthrospores present in some species.

**Etymology:** named for the pearl-like appearance of blue-green colonies growing on mosses; cyanus (L) = greenish-blue; margarita (L) = pearl.

**Type species:** Cyanomargarita melechinii

**Cyanomargarita melechinii** Shalygin, Shalygina et Johansen **sp. nov.**

**Diagnosis:** Akin to *M. calcarea*, but differing in possession of broad, colorless to slightly blackish sheaths and shorter hairs, with shorter spacer regions flanking the tRNA<sup>Ile</sup> region in the 16S-23S ITS, with percent identity between ITS sequences of both species >90.00%.

**Description:** Natural Populations (Figs. 4 and 5) – Macroscopic colonies slimy, spherical, or hemispherical, with appearance of small blue-green pearls attached to mosses, less commonly irregularly shaped, grayish blue-green to blue-green, attached to the substrate (in type locality on the submerged moss Fontinalis sp. and on stones), growing up to 5 mm in diameter. Filaments more or less radially arranged, sometimes arranged in concentric layers in the colony, attenuated towards the ends, densely arranged in parallel orientation, abundantly single false branched, with young, short filaments having geminate branching, 12.5–18 (21) μm wide near base, rarely with basal parts onion-like swollen. Sheaths thin to thick, 1–8 μm wide, often strongly lamellated with 3–5 distinct layers, colorless to slightly blackish in old filaments, funnel-like widened at the distal ends and near site of branching, rarely firm, compacted to give wavy or transverse striations. Trichomes usually gradually widened at the base, rarely onion-like swollen, sometimes narrowing towards the base, gradually tapering towards the distal ends, unconstricted, slightly constricted to distinctly constricted at the cross walls, typically constricted in the basal part, becoming unconstricted in the middle of long, mature trichomes, 7.5–12.5 μm wide near the base, distally elongated into long, thin hairs, as narrow as 1 μm. Cells usually granulated, rarely with large, spherical clear vesicular spaces devoid of thylakoids, bright blue-green to blue-green, when actively dividing as short as 2 μm long, near the base shorter than wide to isodiametric, usually longer than wide in the middle of long mature trichomes, up to 10 μm long, towards the ends less intensely pigmented or colorless, 8–20 (27) μm long. Heterocytes often solitary, rarely in pairs or up to three in a row, spherical, hemispherical, slightly conical, oval, or cylindrical, elongated, flattened, within, or outside of sheath, olive-brown in color, usually with an enlarged, single polar nodule, 10–15 (16) μm wide, 9–18 (20) μm long. Necridia and intercalary involution cells present.

**Cultures** (Fig. S1 in the Supporting Information) – Macroscopic colonies dark-green to blue-green, spreading far from the center, with several filaments upright from agar. Filaments very entangled, long, in liquid Z8 medium forming huge, abundant nodules (20–60 μm wide), on the solid medium, frequently having single-, and double-false branching as well as geminate loops prior to branch formation, when young forming stages similar to *Tapinothrix clintonii* Bohunicák et Johansen with one isopolar filament tapered at both ends fragmenting to produce two heteropolar filaments with widened base and tapered ends, rarely on nitrogen-free medium arranged in parallel like representatives of *Coloedum* Borzi ex Geitler, (8.1) 10–16 μm wide. Sheaths are always colorless, slightly lamellated, with 2–4 layers, usually straight, 1–6 μm wide. Trichomes in young stages taper, at the basal part always clearly constricted, rarely forming long unconstricted hairs, 1–2 μm wide, in mature stages also distinctly constricted, often slightly tapering or untapered but forming conical apical cells, usually long and entangled, releasing small tapered hormogonia, or with pairs of cells with zigzag arrangement at the middle of the trichomes, also forming abruptly conical apical cells on nitrogen-free medium, 3–10 μm wide. Cells often granulated, bright blue-green to olive-green, when actively dividing short, 2 μm long, in middle of long trichomes, 5–10 μm long, in the hair 3–15 (17) μm long, in nitrogen-free medium dividing parallel to filament axis to form a pair of cells (phoheterocyes?) at the basal end of the trichome. Heterocytes forming only in nitrogen-free medium,
Fig. 4. Photographs and light micrographs of *Cyanomargarita melechinii* from natural populations (A) Habitat. (B) Underwater spherical and hemi-spherical macrocolonies on the *Fontinalis* sp. stems. (C) Colonial growth of radially arranged filaments. (D and E) Multiple filaments with funnel-like widened sheaths and variably shaped heterocytes. (F) Distinctly lamellated sheath and clear constrictions at branching trichome.
Fig. 5. Line drawings of *Cyanomargarita melechinii* from natural populations. (A) Underwater colonies on stones and mosses. (B) Spherical macrocolonies on moss leaf. (C and D) Filaments forming tufts within colony. (E) Single filaments with false branching, firm sheath, and constrictions at crosswalls. (F) Variably shaped heterocytes. Numerals indicate diagnostic characteristics used in species description: 1, Filament without constrictions; 2, sheath with wavy striations; 3, funnel-like widened sheaths; 4, two heterocytes in the row; 5, intercalary involution cells; 6, juvenile single trichome without individual sheath; 7, geminate branching on juvenile single trichome; 8, two necridia in a row; 9, different shaped heterocytes; 10, thin apical hairs.
basal, slightly brownish or colorless, of different shapes, from oval or spherical to hemispherical, flattened or irregular, often solitary, rarely two in a row or two side by side, within or outside of sheath, 5–7 μm wide, 4–6 μm long. Necridia, intercalary involution cells, and dark-olive resting cells present.

Etymology: Named in honor of Alexey Melechin, the lichenologist who originally found *Cyanomargarita* in its type locality and informed the author of its existence.

Holotype here designated: BRY37764, Monte L. Bean Museum, Provo, Utah, USA.

Isotypes here designated: KPABG(C):3804, Herbarium of the PABGI under *Rivularia* sp., Kirovsk-6, Russia; BRY37765, BRY37766, BRY37767, Monte L. Bean Museum, Provo, Utah, USA.

Type locality: Russia, Kola Peninsula, Murmansk province, Apatity District, vicinity of the Apatity town, 67°32’ 38.4” N, 33°30’ 14” E, from cold, small, spring-fed, unpolluted, flowing stream in secondary, young forest with coniferous and deciduous trees, below the water surface on the mosses and stones (–10 cm), pH 8.4.

Reference Strain: *Cyanomargarita melechini* APA-RS9, deposited in the Cyanobacterial Culture Collection at John Carroll University.

NCBI GenBank Accession numbers: KY296603, KY296604, KY296605, KY296609, KY296611, KY296613.

Notes: According to morphology, most similar to the poorly known taxon, *Rivularia compacta* Collins, described from Northern America, from which it differs by larger size of the filaments and trichomes, as well as germinate branching and character of the sheath (Komárek 2013).

*Cyanomargarita calcarea* Shalygin, Shalygina et Bohunická sp. nov.

Diagnosis: Akin to *C. melechini*, but differing by possession of brownish sheaths closely attached to the trichomes, with longer hairs, with arthrospores, and with longer spacer regions flanking the tRNA\(^{3\prime}\) region in the 16S-23S ITS, with percent identity between ITS sequences of both species ≥90.00%.

Description: Cultures (Figs. 6, S2 in the Supporting Information) – Macroscopic colonies dark-green to olive-green when old, radiating far from the colony center, with several filaments erect from the agar, in liquid medium forming hemispherical colonies with parallel and radial arranged filaments. Filaments relatively long, entangled, sometimes irregularly coiled or screw-like coiled, frequently with single-, and double-false branching as well as with germinate loops prior to branch formation, gradually tapering from the base, 7–12 (16) μm wide, rarely with basal parts of filaments onion-like swollen. Sheath in the juvenile stages usually colorless, soft, thin, always attached to trichomes, maximally with two layers, 2 μm wide; in senescent cultures brown to slightly reddish, firm, covering only basal parts of trichomes, up to 5 μm wide, sometimes forming collars. Trichomes gradually attenuated, constricted at the cross walls when young, unconstricted when mature, 6–10 μm wide, tapering to a colorless hair many cells long, (2) 2.5–3 μm wide. Cells granulated, usually barrel-shaped or distinctly constricted, apical cells sometimes widened in comparison to the adjacent subterminal cells but abruptly narrowing to a conical end, blue-green, bright blue-green to dark olive-green, longer than wide, isodiametric, or shorter than wide, longer than wide towards the ends, 2–3.5 μm wide, 9–16 μm long. Heterocytes basal or intercalary, two or three in a row, flattened, quadric, or elongated oval, with shape spherical, hemispherical, conical, or irregular, rarely with two heterocytes side by side, within or outside sheath, bright brown to olive in color, 6–12 μm wide, 9–12 μm long. Arthrospores variable in shape, spherical to barrel-shaped, also irregular and rhomboid, typically distinctly granulated, with thin walls, blue-green, 7–10 μm wide, 7–12 (17) μm long. Necridia present.

Etymology: Named for its occurrence on limestone; *calcarius* (L) = calcareous.

Holotype here designated: BRY37768, Monte L. Bean Museum, Provo, Utah, USA.

Isotype here designated: BRY37769, Monte L. Bean Museum, Provo, Utah, USA.

Type locality: Wet limestone wall in the Sheep Creek Drainage, in the Carmel Formation, pH 7.9, Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument, Utah, USA, 37°29’ 06.30” N, 112°09’ 47.36” W.

Reference Strain: *Cyanomargarita calcarea* GSE-NOS12-04C, deposited in the Cyanobacterial Culture Collection at John Carroll University.

NCBI GenBank Accession numbers: KY296606, KY296607, KY296610, KY296612, KY296614.

Notes: Natural material could not be obtained as populations were microscopic embedded in a mixture of other algae.

**DISCUSSION**

Originally, tapering cyanobacteria capable of producing heterocytes were placed either in the *Rivulariaceae* (*Rivularia, Isactis* Thuret ex Bornet et Flahault, *Brachytrichia* Bornet et Flahault and *Gloeotrichia*) or the *Mastichotricheae* (*Calothrix, Dichothrix* Zanardini ex Bornet et Flahault, *Gardnerula* De Toni (as *Polythrix* Grunow ex Bornet et Flahault), and *Saccconema* Borzi ex Bornet et Flahault; Bornet and Flahault 1886–1888). In the early part of the 20th century, these taxa, as well as other tapering taxa, including non-heterocytous forms, such as *Lep- tochaete* Borzi ex Bornet et Flahault and *Tapinotrichus* Sauvageau, were all placed in a single family, *Rivulariaceae* (Glémy 1929, Geitler 1932). The non-heterocytous forms were removed from the family in the revision of the *Nostocales* completed by Komárek and Anagnostidis (1989) – this system continued in both Komárek (2013) and Komárek et al.
Fig. 6. Line drawings of *Cyanomargarita calcarea* from cultures. (A) Initial stages with hormogonium (arrow) and single filaments without sheaths. (B) An isopolar filament divided by intercalary heterocytes, disintegrating into two heteropolar filaments within a common sheath. (C) Entangled filaments in stationary phase, with separation of arthrospores indicated by arrows (that will grow into new filaments, D). (D) Arthrospores, germinating to form juvenile filaments. (E) Variably shaped heterocytes.
Morphologically, these taxa are well-defined, although the colonial morphology and production of hairs is typically lost in culture. The type species for *Calothrix*, C. *confervicola* C.Agardh ex Bornet & Flahault, has not yet been sequenced, and is marine in origin. The accepted type species for *Rivularia*, *R. dura* Roth ex Bornet & Flahault, has also not been sequenced, and is freshwater in origin.

Confusion regarding the diagnosis of *Calothrix* from *Rivularia* clearly exists in the modern literature. In Bergey’s Manual of Systematic Bacteriology (Second Edition), the reference strains for *Calothrix* are all freshwater in origin (Rippka et al. 2001a), whereas the three reference strains for *Rivularia* are all from saline habitats (Rippka et al. 2001b). This ecological niche is the opposite of what one would expect based on the type ecology of the species. Subsequent to Rippka et al.’s (2001a,b) work, more sequences in the tapering group were found (Sihvonen et al. 2007), yielding a phylogeny with five groups: (i) *Rivularia*, mostly from marine habitats, including the Bergey’s Manual reference strain *Rivularia* PCC 7716 (Rippka et al. 2001b), (ii) *Calothrix* marine clade I, (iii) *Calothrix* marine clade II, (iv) *Calothrix* freshwater clade, and (v) *Gloeotrichia* clade. Berrendero et al. (2008) confirmed this result (although *Gloeotrichia* was not in their phylogeny), but showed that all three marine clades had at least some strains assigned to *Calothrix* and some strains assigned to *Rivularia*. In subsequent papers (Berrendero Gómez et al. 2016, León-Tejera et al. 2016), the five clades noted by Siivonen et al. (2007) persisted in the phylogenetic analyses based on larger taxon sets. Our 16S rRNA phylogeny has the most taxa, and these five clades persist in our phylogeny as well (Figs. 1, S3 in the Supporting Information).

Although some confusion persists in the names assigned to strains in culture collections, the identity of these five clades is fairly stable. We suspect that the type for *Calothrix*, when it is isolated and sequenced, will fall within either marine *Calothrix* Clade I or Clade II; *Rivularia dura*, when sequenced, will fall in the *Rivularia* clade defined in Berrendero Gómez et al. (2016) and León-Tejera et al. (2016). *Gloeotrichia* has already been moved to another family, the Gloeotrichiaceae (Komárek et al. 2014). We anticipate that *Calothrix*-like taxa (Freshwater, Marine I, Marine II) likely will be revised and separated into three genera and placed in their own families, separate from the Rivulariaceae (Fig. 1). Based on either morphology or phylogeny, *Cyanomargarita* does not fall into any previously described families, and will be placed in the Cyanomargaritaceae.

Much of the confusion in cyanobacterial taxonomy today is the result of the assumptions by earlier authors that a number of morphological features evolved within the phylum only once, or, at best, only a few times. Tapering trichomes inhabiting soft mucilage to form adherent colonies, false branching, and true branching were all characteristics that were thought to be significant and sufficient to group taxa into relatively few higher level taxa. We now know that these derived characters have arisen multiple times through the process of convergent evolution. Tapering trichomes occur in very phylogenetically distant and diverse groups: *Rivularia*, *Isatis*, *Kyrtauthrix*, *Sctyonematopsis* Kiseleva, and *Brachytrichia*, in the Rivulariaceae; *Calothrix*, *Dichotheithrix* and *Macrochaete* in the Masticotrichiaceae (which will need renaming), *Roholietta* Bohunická, Pietrasíak et Johansen and *Calochaete* Hauer, Bohunická et Mühlensteinová in the Fortiaceae, *Gloeotrichia* in the Gloeotrichiaceae, *Goleter* Miscoe et Johansen in the Nostocaceae, and *Cyanomargarita* in the Cyanomargaritaceae, indicating that tapering likely arose independently in the Nostocales at least six times (Komárek et al. 2014, Berrendero Gómez et al. 2016, León-Tejera et al. 2016, Miscoe et al. 2016).

True-branching was similarly considered to have been a unique feature that arose only once in the heterocytous cyanobacteria, and all true-branching forms were at one time in the Stigonemataceae. Based on molecular data, we now know that true branching occurs in the Syctonemataceae (Sphabetonopsis Tiwari et Mitra and *Iphine* Lamprinou et Pantazidou), Stigonemataceae (*Stigonema* Agardh ex Bornet et Flahault), Tolypothricaceae (*Rexia* Casamatta, Gomez et Johansen), Hapalosiphonaceae (*Hapalosiphon* Kirchner ex Bornet et Flahault, *Fischerella* Gomont, *Westiellopsis* Janet, and *Nostochopsis* Wood ex Bornet et Flahault) Chloroglhoeopsidaceae (*Chloroglhoeopsis* Mitra et Pandey), and Aetokthonos Wilde et Johansen, indicating this character arose at least six times (Gugger and Hoffmann 2004, Wilde et al. 2014, Mares et al. 2015). Indeed, in the Cyanomargaritaceae, cell division in two planes is present in both species, and this is a prerequisite character to true-branching, although at present we have only seen the phenomenon in the basal cells of the trichomes in culture material.

Polyphyly in cyanobacterial genera should not be a surprise. Given that relatively few characters were given inordinate weight by early taxonomists, thinking that these characters could arise independently did not seem parsimonious or likely. However, with a molecular understanding, we realize that many supposed synapomorphies in cyanobacteria are actually not homologous characters. It seems apparent that they are useful in the definition of genera, where they appear to be consistent across the entire group, but they fail in the definition of higher-level taxa. The exception appears to be the formation of heterocytes and akinetes, which are restricted to the Nostoccales and therefore likely arose only once.

Given the convergence of morphological traits in evolutionarily distant lineages, the use of molecular sequence data to define family- and order-level taxa is likely going to increase. The morphological definition of families will likely be replaced by a phylogenetic definition (a monophyletic cluster of
genera). This is already happening in other algal groups, such as the Sphaeropleales (Fučíková et al. 2014). We anticipate that as more molecular sequence data become available for more genera, the difficulty in using existing family-level taxonomy will increase in many algal groups, including cyanobacteria, and more families will be described and recognized in order to maintain monophyly and to stabilize taxonomy. These families will, unfortunately, often be difficult to characterize morphologically, and so will lose their meaning and value to the taxonomic novice. However, a taxonomic system consistent with evolutionary history has long been the goal of taxonomists.
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Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher’s web site:

**Figure S1.** Light micrographs of *Cyanomargarita melechnii* from cultures. (A) *Tapinothrix clintonii*-like stages. (B) Spiraled and very entangled filaments. (C) Huge nodule from liquid medium. (D) Single, double and geminant branching types. (E) Unusual cell division in the perpendicular plane, dark-olive resting cells and strange endings of trichomes. (F) Variably shaped heterocytes.

**Figure S2.** Light micrographs of *Cyanomargarita calcarea* from cultures. (A) Macrocolonies on agar surface. (B) Entangled filaments with single and double false branching. (C) Individual filaments with variably shaped arthospores (arrows). (D) Mature filaments with intensely brown sheath. (E and F) Variably shaped heterocytes on well granulated trichomes.

**Figure S3.** Uncollapsed phylogeny for *Cyanomargarita* spp. inferred by Bayesian analysis within Nostocales based on a maximum of 1,495 nucleotides from the 16S rRNA gene (240 OTUs). Branch support values are shown as BA/ML/MP. Support values of 100 in ML and MP, or 1.00 (BA), is displayed as ‘*’,” respectively, nodes with no support are shown as “—”.

**Table S1.** Summary of likelihood substitution model parameters for the GTR+G+I nucleotide models and Ny98 codon model.