

Volume 25 Issue 2 Special Issue

Article 11

2020

What is an Assault Weapon?

Wm. Alan Bartley Transylvania University, abartley@transy.edu

Geoffrey Fain Williams Transylvania University

Follow this and additional works at: https://collected.jcu.edu/jep

Recommended Citation

Bartley, Wm. Alan and Williams, Geoffrey Fain (2020) "What is an Assault Weapon?," The Journal of Economics and Politics: Vol. 25: Iss. 2, Article 11. DOI: https://doi.org/10.59604/1046-2309.1037 Available at: https://collected.jcu.edu/jep/vol25/iss2/11

This Abstract is brought to you for free and open access by Carroll Collected. It has been accepted for inclusion in The Journal of Economics and Politics by an authorized editor of Carroll Collected. For more information, please contact mchercourt@jcu.edu.

What is an Assault Weapon?

Wm. Alan Bartley, Transylvania University (abartley@transy.edu)

Geoffrey Fain Williams, Transylvania University

Abstract

Looking at various definitions of an "assault weapon" in a number of proposed or enacted national and state bans, we apply the legislative criteria to test which small arms available to American consumers would fit. Of 199 models of centerfire rifles with semiautomatic firing advertised in a 2019 mass market gun magazine, we find that roughly 70-85% would meet the criteria. In contrast, at most 15% of the semiautomatic shotguns and only 1.5% of the semiautomatic pistols would meet ban criteria (as a percentage of all rifles, shotguns and handguns the rough figures would be 20%, 5% and 1% respectively). We also analyze the frequency with which legislatively designated "assault weapons" traits show up among those that meet ban criteria, and use firearms reference material to assess each trait for potential effect on lethality and concealability. The majority of guns meeting the assault weapon criteria combine the traits of detachable magazines, semiautomatic firing capability and intermediate power cartridges which have been classified by the NRA as "modern sporting rifles." It is not clear empirically how well these weapons fit into the two standard justifications of civilian firearms ownership of hunting or self-defense, but this quantitative analysis of legislative policy effects may help to frame the discussion.