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A Case Studies Approach to Teaching Introductory Physics

Over the past several years, we have contributed several articles to *TPT* that focused on a forensics-style reexamination of historical events. In each article, we presented a case study as a pedagogy for teaching topics from introductory-level physics courses. Recently, we assembled our prior work into a series of “MythBusters”-style modules for pre-service teachers (PSTs). The goal of these modules is to widen the pedagogical viewpoint of the PSTs by exposing them to case studies as a means of teaching physics, problem solving, and critical thinking. In this article, we describe the design and rationale of our modules while providing anecdotal commentary from a cohort of PSTs who trial tested them. We identify several unique teaching opportunities that we share with readers of *TPT*.

**Design**

The pedagogical utility of case studies is a growing and well-researched area of physics education. In addition to reexamining historical events, case studies can incorporate the reverse engineering of products or the building of prototypes for pre-service teachers (PSTs). The goal of these modules is to provide an interdisciplinary approach to teaching the physics of everyday events. To achieve this, we designed the modules to include an introduction to the event, a review of the underlying physics, and a laboratory activity. The modules also include additional readings and classroom presentations to support the PSTs in their teaching.

First, case studies are interdisciplinary, have broad appeal, and make personal connections to students. For instance, case studies involving sports or local news can be used to teach foundational principles and problem-solving strategies of physics, conceptual physics, multiple courses in STEM, and engineering ethics. Case studies can serve as technologically challenging capstone projects, modules in advanced laboratory courses, and the basis of independent studies. Additionally, students may encounter case studies in fields such as medicine, law, or business—fields in which case studies are commonly used. With this in mind, we wanted our first module to convey the message that case studies have an interdisciplinary appeal with a unique ability to make direct connections to students’ lives. Since our PSTs were more disposed to a liberal arts focus, we chose to reexamine an event that showcases the application of physics to the world of sports and brings local news directly into our classroom.
students with relevant background information, comparative timelines, and leading theories as to why events unfolded as they did, we can bring sometimes forgotten events to new generations of students. For this topic, a new case study was designed to honor the crew of Apollo 1. On January 27, 1967, a fire swept through the interior of NASA’s “AS-204” Command Module and killed American astronauts Chaffee, Grissom, and White during a rehearsal of their upcoming space flight. We present the historical debate as: “What was the source of fuel for the Apollo 1 fire?” In laboratory, PSTs conduct a basic horizontal flame test patterned after the procedures used by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to measure the ignitability of solids.

Third, case studies highlight the importance of operational definitions in scientific experiments. With a case study, students see, perhaps for the first time, how operational definitions compare phenomena of interest against known standards or accepted protocols. Our 2017 TPT article on the Hindenburg disaster provides an excellent case study to illustrate this point. On May 6, 1937, the German zeppelin Hindenburg caught fire while preparing to dock at the Naval Air Station in Lakehurst, NJ. The ensuing fire destroyed the massive airship in 35 seconds. We present the historical debate as: “What was the source of fuel for the fire that destroyed the Hindenburg?” In laboratory, PSTs piggyback on the horizontal flame test conducted earlier but now conduct a vertical one. This test is patterned after the procedure used by the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) for determining the flammability of textiles. The purpose of both flame tests is to not only raise the historical awareness of the PSTs, but to acclimate them to the idea of adhering to standardized scientific protocols.

Next, case studies demonstrate the “perfect storm scenario”—how a progression of events often results in an unlikely or unforeseen outcome. Our TPT article on the sinking of the S.S. Edmund Fitzgerald provides the basis of this case study. On November 10, 1975, the Great Lakes bulk cargo freighter S.S. Edmund Fitzgerald suddenly and mysteriously sank during a winter storm on Lake Superior. All 29 men onboard perished. First, since several of the deceased crew members were from Northeast Ohio (some residing only a few miles from campus), the case study once again personalized the modules to our PSTs. As one PST noted about one of the sailors, “Growing up, I had heard his name and seen his picture. I never understood what happened to him or why people remembered him.” Next, our laboratory
activity was designed under the hypothesis that the *Fitzgerald* sank after encountering a series of rogue waves. Students see that an unlikely cascade of conditions (i.e., the “perfect storm scenario”) were met, thus placing the ship at the wrong place at the wrong time. We present the historical debate as: “Why did the S.S. Edmund Fitzgerald sink in Lake Superior on November 10, 1975?” In laboratory, PSTs explore the principle of superposition and use computer simulations to create the conditions that generate rogue waves.

Next, case studies showcase that scientists and engineers must often develop simulations or test analog materials in lieu of actual substances—especially if those substances are prohibitively rare, precious, expensive, or dangerous to test. We use our TPT article that examined the conditions of the Indian Ocean tsunami following the Sumatra–Andaman earthquake of December 26, 2004. As a result of the earthquake, a 1200 km × 900 km area of the ocean floor slipped 15 vertical meters where the Indo-Australian plate subducts under the smaller overriding Burma microplate. The ensuing tsunami led to the deaths of more than 200,000 people and devastated parts of Indonesia, Sri Lanka, India, Thailand, Somalia, and Burma. We designed our case study so that the PSTs simulate the event using water tanks. We present the historical debate as: “What factors contributed to the formation of the Indian Ocean tsunami of 2004?” In laboratory, PSTs use the water tanks, basic wave equations, and the principle of conservation of energy to determine the factors affecting the shape and propagation of waves as they reach shore.

Last, case studies allow teachers to emphasize that scientists now take a forensics approach to historical events. Scientists no longer adopt a strictly passive approach to history. Instead, they bring sophisticated analytical tools to scrutinize why certain events happened. Far from being a set of agreed upon immutable facts, the historical record is open to reexamination and reinterpretation. The 2015 AFC Championship game between the New England Patriots and the Indianapolis Colts, better known as “Deflategate,” was the subject of our 2015 TPT article and serves as a conclusion to our series of modules. At halftime of this game, officials determined that 11 of the 12 footballs being used by the Patriots on offense were significantly underinflated. The team was immediately accused of intentionally using underinflated balls to give its offense an advantage. We present the historical debate as: “Did the New England Patriots cheat during the AFC Championship game of 2015?” In laboratory, PSTs analyze sound waves from various professional-grade sports balls as they bounce off of the floor. Although the laboratory activity could focus on topics such as the ideal gas law, projectile motion, conservation of energy, or linear impulse/momentum, we simply use the opportunity to emphasize measurement in laboratory activities.

Reactions from pre-service teachers

Eighteen pre-service teachers reflected on how they might use a case study pedagogy to plan and implement their own instruction. Overall, the PSTs welcomed the opportunity to incorporate case studies into their repertoire of teaching pedagogies. According to the PSTs, the approach is an effective way for learners to contextualize physics in real-world situations that are more engaging, relevant, and exploratory than what are commonly found in more traditional teaching pedagogies. Of universal appeal to the PSTs was the open-ended nature of case studies (i.e., that each controversy had no correct “textbook” solution). For example, one PST commented: “My students will enjoy being ‘detectives’ and ‘MythBusters,’ trying to unravel why certain events happened. I know I did when I was trying to figure out the case studies we examined. I really wanted to solve each of the mysteries we explored.” Another PST commented that the case studies were so interesting and puzzling that she “couldn’t wait to get into lab and figure out an explanation of what might have happened.”

Feedback from PSTs reveals four specific themes regarding their perceptions of the strengths associated with using a case study-based pedagogy: First, to our surprise, half of the PSTs identified our previous TPT articles and publications in other peer-reviewed journals as a powerful motivating influence for learning content. PSTs commented that seeing their instructors engaged in sustained research into these events was a strong motivation to learn the material themselves. One PST commented: “I was excited to see my professor so engaged and personally interested in the case studies.” Another PST remarked: “Seeing our professors doing research outside of the classroom allowed me to view them as researchers who are passionate about their work. These topics are more than just abstract concepts to them. I enjoyed seeing my professors personally invested in the case studies. This motivated me to learn more about the topics myself.” Second, discussing the specifics of each case study affirmed our notion that the modules were raising the historical awareness of our PSTs. Prior to class, not one PST was aware of the Apollo 1 fire, the Hindenburg disaster, nor the sinking of the S.S. Edmund Fitzgerald. These events were regarded as “occurring in the distant past” (defined by one PST as any event occurring before 1990), yet were made more relevant to PSTs’ lives because of the background information provided. For example, learning about the lives of the three astronauts aboard Apollo 1 made the events more personal and, as one PST noted, “narrowed the gap between 1967 and today.” Likewise, one PST remarked about the Hindenburg disaster: “Seeing the newsreel footage and hearing actual audio clips were intense experiences. I realize I have to pay more attention to events that happened outside of my own lifetime. I now understand the significance of the phrase, “Oh, the humanity!”” (In class, we described Herbert Morrison’s eyewitness account of the Hindenburg disaster and phrase “Oh, the humanity!” as legendary audio history.) Third, as much as PSTs appreciated learning about events from the “distant past,” they all agreed that analyzing local events, as well as events occurring during their lifetimes, was a strength. Reexamining a well-known local football controversy and learning about local crewmen who perished aboard the *Fitzgerald* certainly “brought events closer to campus … and home.” Events like “Deflategate” and the Indian Ocean tsunami sparked many discussions among PSTs about where they were when these events occurred and what they remembered.
about each. The take-home message here is that assembling a mix of case studies from local, national, and international events, as well as the recent and distant past, serves as a powerful catalog of situations that appeals to a wide range of students’ interests. Finally, PSTs identified the progression of case studies, along with the ability of the instructors to transition from one study to the next, as strengths. Starting the modules with a local event hooked PSTs into the pedagogy. Reexamining back-to-back case studies involving the propagation of flames, followed by back-to-back case studies involving simulations, were perceived as careful course planning on the part of the instructors. Concluding the modules with “Deflategate,” which was seen as bringing the experience full circle back to its introductory football activity, provided a sense of closure for the PSTs. “I liked how each topic seemed to be a spin-off of the previous topic. This was a great way to reinforce the previous week’s materials and allowed me to make connections between each topic.”

Feedback also reveals that the PSTs perceived one major limitation with using case studies in their classrooms—time. Eight respondents commented that although they enjoyed the historical nature of case studies, the pedagogy was perhaps an inefficient use of time and would prevent them from covering necessary content in their classrooms. They felt case studies were simply too time consuming to be used on a regular basis. These respondents commented that case studies are “good for depth, but not for breadth,” adding that, “the advantage of case studies is that they allow teachers to dig deeply into topics … but unfortunately, less topics get covered.” To these PSTs, traditional pedagogies like direct instruction or backward design provided more effective means of delivering classroom instruction. One PST noted: “Maybe a case study could be used once or twice a month to add some context to certain topics, but overall, I have to cover more material in class than a case study-approach would allow.” Unfortunately, the realities of high stakes testing and pressures from districts and administrators was never far from the minds of the PST: “We simply have to get through a fixed curriculum so that our students have covered the necessary material when testing dates arrive.”

Finally, PSTs unanimously appreciated investigating case studies firsthand and encouraged other teacher preparation programs to institutionalize the pedagogy as part of their methods classes or student-teaching experiences. Several PSTs mentioned that whether or not they see themselves using a case studies approach to teaching physics or STEM, they appreciated learning about it and, more importantly, experiencing it. “There are pros and cons to every pedagogical approach and it’s up to the teachers to decide what works for them, their students, and their classrooms. Adding the case study approach to my arsenal of delivery methods gives me another choice of how I can teach STEM to my students.”

Acknowledgments
The authors acknowledge the reviewers of this manuscript as well as the reviewers of our prior publications. The work of the reviewers significantly strengthened the presentation of this work.